



The
University
Of
Sheffield.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS

**Autumn Semester
2016–17**

Bayesian Statistics

2 hours

Candidates may bring to the examination a calculator which conforms to University regulations.

*Marks will be awarded for your best **three** answers. Total marks 84.*

Standard results from the lecture notes may be used without derivation, but must be clearly stated.

**Please leave this exam paper on your desk
Do not remove it from the hall**

Registration number from U-Card (9 digits)
to be completed by student

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Blank

1 A biologist is interested in the prevalence of a genetic disorder, $0 < \theta < 1$, in the bacterium *Streptomyces coelicolor*. She has access to results of a recent experiment in which a random sample of n bacteria were tested and x were positive for the disorder.

(i) Show that the Jeffreys prior is conjugate for this model and give explicit expressions for the posterior parameters. **(6 marks)**

(ii) Using the same population, a new experiment is carried out using negative binomial sampling in which bacteria are screened until m without the disorder are observed, with m fixed in advance.

(a) Denoting by y the number of bacteria in the sample with the disorder and assuming both samples are stochastically independent, argue why the likelihood function using all the data can be written as

$$L(\theta ; x, y, m) \propto \theta^x (1 - \theta)^{n-x} \theta^y (1 - \theta)^m .$$

(4 marks)

(b) Show that the Jeffreys prior for the whole data is not conjugate, but the Beta distribution still is. **(8 marks)**

(c) A third biologist is trying to set up a similar experiment. In order to prepare for it, she needs to know the probability of observing two or more healthy bacteria before observing 5 with the disorder. Using all the data available, calculate her predictive probability if she specifies $\pi(\theta) = \text{Be}(\theta | 1, 1)$ as her prior and $n = 10, x = 3, m = 5$ and $y = 3$ were recorded in the previous two experiments. **(10 marks)**

2 A branch manager is interested in the rate of clients served in a day, θ . Through a typical period he records a random sample of clients served by day $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and assumes $x_i \sim \text{Po}(x_i | \theta)$. He decides to use $\pi(\theta) = \text{Ga}(\theta | a, b)$ as a prior.

(i) Show that his posterior distribution is $\text{Ga}(\theta | a^*, b^*)$ and provide explicit expressions for the posterior parameters. **(5 marks)**

(ii) Show that the posterior mean is the optimal decision under square error loss. **(5 marks)**

(iii) Using past records of similar branches the manager elicits $\mathbb{E}[\theta] = 10/3$ and $\mathbb{V}[\theta] = 50/9$ and obtains $n = 40$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{40} x_i = 425.3$ from the sample.

(a) Calculate his prior and posterior point estimates under a quadratic loss function,

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = (\theta - \hat{\theta})^2,$$

and the associated expected loss. **(6 marks)**

(b) Calculate his posterior point estimate under the absolute loss function,

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = |\theta - \hat{\theta}|,$$

assuming the posterior distribution can be approximated by a Gaussian. **(6 marks)**

(c) Using a zero-one loss function,

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = \begin{cases} 0 & |\theta - \hat{\theta}| < c \\ 1 & |\theta - \hat{\theta}| \geq c \end{cases},$$

and assuming $c \rightarrow 0$, calculate his prior and posterior point estimates. **(6 marks)**

- 3 A common model used in experimental design to investigate whether the mean of several populations is the same or not can be written as

$$y_{ij} = \mu_j + \varepsilon_i; \quad i = 1, \dots, n_j,$$

$$\mu_j \sim N(\mu_j | \eta, 1/t), \quad j = 1, \dots, k$$

and

$$\varepsilon_i \sim N(\varepsilon_i | 0, 1/\lambda), \quad \text{independent},$$

where $y_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$, are the observations; $\mu_j \in \mathbb{R}$, the mean of the j -th population, $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k\}$, $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda > 0$ are unknown parameters. Let the prior be

$$\pi(\eta, \lambda) = N(\eta | m, 1/p) \text{Ga}(\lambda | a, b).$$

with $\{t, m, p, a, b\}$ known.

- (i) (a) Show that the full conditional distribution of each μ_j is $N(\mu_j | m_j^*, 1/t_j^*)$ and give explicit expressions for the parameters. **(6 marks)**
- (b) Show that the full conditional distribution of η is $N(\eta | m^*, 1/p^*)$ and give explicit expressions for the parameters. **(7 marks)**
- (c) Show that the full conditional distribution of λ is $\text{Ga}(\lambda | a^*, b^*)$ and give explicit expressions for the parameters. **(5 marks)**
- (ii) Write down pseudo-code for an MCMC scheme to explore the posterior distribution $\pi(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \eta, \lambda | \mathbf{y})$. **(10 marks)**

- 4 An engineer is testing a new precision weighing device. In her experimental design n pieces of titanium of identical known weight are measured and the relative discrepancy, $\mathbf{y} = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ is recorded and it is assumed $y_i \sim \text{Un}(y_i | 0, \theta)$, where θ represents the maximum technical discrepancy of the device.

- (i) Sketch the likelihood function and show that $\hat{\theta} = y_{(n)} = \max\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ is the MLE. **(4 marks)**
- (ii) The engineer decides to use

$$\text{Pa}(\theta | a, b) = ab^a \theta^{-(a+1)}, \quad \theta > b, \quad a, b > 0,$$

as a prior distribution.

- (a) Sketch the engineer's prior distribution. **(4 marks)**
- (b) Show that her posterior distribution is $\text{Pa}(\theta | a^*, b^*)$, with $a^* = n + a$ and $b^* = \max\{b, \hat{\theta}\}$. **(10 marks)**
- (c) Discuss the implications on the Bayesian learning process if $b > \hat{\theta}$. **(6 marks)**
- (iii) Provide the HPD interval of size 0.95 if $n = 10$, $\hat{\theta} = 0.5$, $a = 3$ and $b = 0.4$. **(4 marks)**

End of Question Paper

Notation and distributions

Bayesian Statistics 2016–17

Throughout the course it is assumed that the probabilistic behaviour of available data, \mathbf{x} , is described by a parametric model; hence all inferences will be conditional to the selected model.

Each model is composed by a family of probability distributions, indexed by a parameter vector, $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, which in turn can be described by their appropriate density functions. We will denote a specific model by

$$\mathcal{M} = \{f(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta}), \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta\},$$

where $f(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq 0$ and $\int_{\mathcal{X}} f(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta}) d\mathbf{x} = 1$; when there is no risk of confusion, we will refer to a model simply as $f(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta})$. We call \mathcal{X} the support of the distribution and Θ the parameter space.

We will use $f(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\phi})$ and $f(\mathbf{y} | \boldsymbol{\psi})$ to refer to probability densities of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , without necessarily meaning that both quantities share a common distribution. In general, the Greek alphabet is reserved for non-observables (typically, parameters) and the Latin alphabet for observations (data). Bold typeface denotes vector valued quantities.

Specific density functions are referred by appropriate names; e.g. if the observable x follows a Normal distribution with mean μ and variance σ^2 , its density is denoted by $N(x | \mu, \sigma^2)$. Tables below present some density functions used throughout the course.

Moments and other descriptive measures of probability distributions are described by appropriate symbols. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta}] &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{x} f(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta}) d\mathbf{x}, \\ \mathbb{V}[\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta}] &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta}])^2 f(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta}) d\mathbf{x}, \\ \text{Cov}[\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta}] &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta}])^t (\mathbf{x} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta}]) f(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta}) d\mathbf{x},\end{aligned}$$

respectively stand for the expected value, variance and covariance of the given quantity, while $\text{Med}[\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta}]$ and $\text{Mode}[\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta}]$ denote the median and mode, respectively. Sums are used instead of integrals when the support of the random quantity is discrete.

We use, $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}(\mathbf{x})$ to denote a generic statistic (typically sufficient) derived from observed data, $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$; standard symbols are used for common statistics; thus,

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \quad \text{and} \quad s_x^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

denote the sample mean and variance, respectively; while $x_{(p)}$ stands for the p^{th} order statistic; in particular $x_{(1)}$ and $x_{(n)}$ respectively denote the minimum and maximum observed values.

SOME DISCRETE DISTRIBUTIONS

Name	Context	Notation	p.f. $p(x \theta)$	$\mathbb{E}[X \theta]$	$\mathbb{V}[X \theta]$	Applications	Comments
Uniform	Set of k equally likely outcomes (usually, not necessarily, the integers)	$U(1, \dots, k)$	$p(x) = 1/k$ $\mathcal{X} = \{1, \dots, k\}, \mathcal{K} = \mathbb{Z}_+$	$\frac{k+1}{2}$	$\frac{k^2-1}{12}$	Dice	
Bernoulli	Expt. with two outcomes: 'success' w.p. θ and 'failure' w.p. $1 - \theta$ $X \equiv$ no. successes	$\text{Ber}(x \theta)$	$p(x) = \theta^x(1 - \theta)^{1-x}$ $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}$ $\Theta = (0, 1)$	θ	$\theta(1 - \theta)$	Coins, constituent of more complex distributions	
Binomial	$X \equiv$ no. successes in n ind. $\text{Ber}(x \theta)$ trials	$\text{Bi}(x n, \theta)$	$p(x) = \binom{n}{x}\theta^x(1 - \theta)^{n-x}$ $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ $\Theta = (0, 1)$	$n\theta$	$n\theta(1 - \theta)$	Sampling with replacement	$\text{Bi}(x 1, \theta) \equiv \text{Ber}(x \theta)$
Geometric	$X \equiv$ no. failures until 1st success in sequence of ind. $\text{Ber}(x \theta)$ trials	$\text{Ge}(x \theta)$	$p(x) = \theta(1 - \theta)^x$ $\mathcal{X} = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ $\Theta = (0, 1)$	$\frac{1 - \theta}{\theta}$	$\frac{1 - \theta}{\theta^2}$	Waiting times (for single events)	Alternative formulation in terms of $Y \equiv$ no. of trials to 1st success ($Y = X + 1$)
Negative binomial (or Pascal)	$X \equiv$ no. failures to m -th success in sequence of ind. $\text{Ber}(x \theta)$ trials. Generalisation of Geometric	$\text{NB}(x m, \theta)$	$p(x) = \binom{m+x-1}{x}\theta^m(1 - \theta)^x$ $\mathcal{X} = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ $\Theta = (0, 1)$	$\frac{m(1 - \theta)}{\theta}$	$\frac{m(1 - \theta)}{\theta^2}$	Waiting times (for compound events)	$\text{NB}(x 1, \theta) \equiv \text{Ge}(x \theta)$
Poisson	Arises empirically or via Poisson Process (PP) for counting events. For PP rate ν the no. of events in time $t \sim \text{Po}(x \nu t)$. Also as an approx. to the Binomial	$\text{Po}(x \lambda)$	$p(x) = \frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^x}{x!}$ $\mathcal{X} = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}^+$	λ	λ	Counting events occurring 'at random' in space or time	$\text{Bi}(x n, \theta) \approx \text{Po}(x n\theta)$ if n large, θ small, and $n\theta = c$.

SOME CONTINUOUS DISTRIBUTIONS

Name	Notation	p.d.f. $f(x \theta)$	$\mathbb{E}[X \theta]$	$\mathbb{V}[X \theta]$	Applications	Comments
Uniform	$\text{Un}(x \alpha, \beta)$	$f(x) = \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha}$ $\mathcal{X} = [\alpha, \beta]$ $\Theta = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \alpha < \beta\}$	$\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}$	$\frac{(\beta - \alpha)^2}{12}$	Rounding errors $\text{Un}(x -1/2, 1/2)$. Simulating other distributions from $\text{Un}(x 0, 1)$	
Exponential	$\text{Ex}(x \lambda)$	$f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$ $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}_+$ $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}_+$	$\frac{1}{\lambda}$	$\frac{1}{\lambda^2}$	Inter-event times for Poisson Process. Models lifetimes of non-ageing items.	Also parameterised in terms of $1/\lambda$. $\text{Ga}(x 1, \lambda) \equiv \text{Ex}(x \lambda)$
Gamma	$\text{Ga}(x \alpha, \beta)$	$f(x) = \frac{\beta^\alpha x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x}}{\Gamma[\alpha]}$ $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}_+$ $\Theta = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \alpha > 0, \beta > 0\}$	$\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$	$\frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}$	Times between k events for Poisson Process. Lifetimes of ageing items. Conjugate prior for exponential model.	Also parameterised in terms of $1/\beta$ $\text{Ga}(x 1, \lambda) \equiv \text{Ex}(x \lambda)$, $\text{Ga}(x \nu/2, 1/2) \equiv \chi_{(\nu)}^2(x)$ $1/x = y \sim \text{IGa}(y \alpha, \beta)$
Beta	$\text{Be}(x \alpha, \beta)$	$f(x) = \frac{x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}}{\text{B}(\alpha, \beta)}$ $\mathcal{X} = (0, 1)$ $\Theta = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \alpha > 0, \beta > 0\}$	$\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}$	$\frac{\alpha\beta(\alpha + \beta)^{-2}}{(\alpha + \beta + 1)}$	Useful model for variables with finite range. Conjugate prior for Binomial model.	$\text{Be}(x 1, 1) \equiv \text{Un}(x 0, 1)$ $\text{Be}(x \alpha, \beta)$ is reflection about $\frac{1}{2}$ of $\text{Be}(x \beta, \alpha)$. Can re-scale $\text{Be}(x \alpha, \beta)$ to any finite range $[a, b]$ by $Y = (b - a)X + a$
Normal (Gaussian)	$\text{N}(x \mu, \sigma^2)$	$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}\right)^2\right]$ $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}$ $\Theta = \{(\mu, \sigma^2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \sigma^2 > 0\}$	μ	σ^2	Empirically and theoretically (via CLT) a useful model. Often parameterised in terms of the precision $\lambda = 1/\sigma^2$	$Y = aX + b \sim \text{N}(y a\mu + b, a^2\sigma^2)$ $Z = \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma} \sim \text{N}(z 0, 1)$ $\text{P}[X \in (u, v)] = \text{P}\left[Z \in \left(\frac{u - \mu}{\sigma}, \frac{v - \mu}{\sigma}\right)\right]$
Chi-square	$\chi_{(\nu)}^2(x)$	$f(x) = \frac{2^{-\nu/2}}{\Gamma(\nu/2)} x^{\nu/2-1} e^{-x/2}$ $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}_+$; $\Theta = \mathbb{R}_+$	ν	2ν	Sum of squares of ν independent standard Gaussians	$\chi_{(\nu)}^2(x) \equiv \text{Ga}(x \nu/2, 1/2)$
Student t	$\text{St}(x \mu, \lambda, \nu)$	$f(x) = \frac{\Gamma[(\nu+1)/2]}{\Gamma[\nu/2]} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\nu\pi}\right)^{1/2} \times$ $(1 + \lambda(x - \mu)^2/\nu)^{-(\nu+1)/2}$ $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda, \nu > 0$	μ (if $\nu > 1$)	$\lambda^{-1} \frac{\nu}{\nu - 2}$ (if $\nu > 2$)	Useful alternative to Gaussian for variables with heavy tails.	If $X \sim \text{N}(x 0, 1)$ and $Y \sim \chi_{(\nu)}^2(y)$ independent then $\frac{X}{\sqrt{Y/\nu}} \sim t_\nu$. If $Y = \sqrt{\lambda}(x - \mu)$ then $Y \sim t_\nu(y)$ $t_1 \equiv \text{Cauchy}$. $t_\nu^2 \equiv F_{1,\nu}$.

SOME MULTIVARIATE DISTRIBUTIONS

Name	Notation	p.d.f. $f(\mathbf{x} \boldsymbol{\theta})$	$\mathbb{E}[X \boldsymbol{\theta}]$	$\mathbb{V}[X \boldsymbol{\theta}]$	Applications	Comments
Multinomial	$\text{Mu}(\mathbf{x} \boldsymbol{\theta}, n)$	$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{n!}{\prod_{l=1}^k x_l!} \prod_{l=1}^k \theta_l^{x_l}$ $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}, x_l = 0, 1, \dots, \sum x_l = n$ $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}, 0 < \theta_l < 1, \sum \theta_l = 1$	$\mathbb{E}[x_i] = n\theta_i$	$\mathbb{V}[x_i] = n\theta_i(1 - \theta_i)$ $\text{Cov}[x_i, x_j] = -n\theta_i\theta_j$	Counts of events with more than two possible outcomes	Generalisation of the Binomial distribution
Dirichlet	$\text{Di}(\mathbf{x} \boldsymbol{\alpha})$	$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\Gamma(\sum \alpha_l)}{\prod \Gamma(\alpha_l)} \prod_{l=1}^k x_l^{\alpha_l - 1}$ $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}, 0 < x_l < 1, \sum_{l=1}^k x_l = 1$ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}, 0 < \alpha_l$	$\mathbb{E}[x_i] = \mu_i = \frac{\alpha_i}{\sum \alpha_l}$	$\mathbb{V}[x_i] = \frac{\mu_i(1 - \mu_i)}{1 + \sum \alpha_l}$ $\text{Cov}[x_i, x_j] = -\frac{\mu_i\mu_j}{1 + \sum \alpha_l}$	Distribution of points in a simplex	Generalisation of the Beta distribution
Normal-Gamma	$\text{NG}(x, y \mu, \lambda, \alpha, \beta)$	$f(x, y) = \text{N}(x \mu, (y\lambda)^{-1}) \text{Ga}(y \alpha, \beta)$ $\mathcal{X} = \{(x, y) : x \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0\}$ $\mu \in \mathbb{R}; \lambda, \alpha, \beta > 0$	$\mathbb{E}[x] = \mu$ $\mathbb{E}[y] = \alpha\beta^{-1}$	$\mathbb{V}[x] = \frac{\beta}{\lambda(\alpha - 1)}$ $\mathbb{V}[y] = \alpha\beta^{-2}$	Conjugate prior for Gaussian data	$f(x) = \text{St}(x \mu, \lambda\alpha\beta^{-1}, 2\alpha)$
Gaussian	$\text{N}_k(\mathbf{x} \boldsymbol{\mu}, \Lambda)$	$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{ \Lambda ^{1/2}}{(2\pi)^{k/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})' \Lambda (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right]$ $\mathcal{X} = \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^k; \Lambda \text{ symmetric positive-definite}$	$\boldsymbol{\mu}$	Λ^{-1}	See univariate case	Usually parameterised in terms of the covariance matrix $\Sigma = \Lambda^{-1}$
Student	$\text{St}_k(\mathbf{x} \boldsymbol{\mu}, \Lambda, \nu)$	$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{ \Lambda ^{1/2} \Gamma((\nu + k)/2)}{(\nu\pi)^{k/2} \Gamma(\nu/2)} \times$ $\left[1 + \frac{1}{\nu}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})' \Lambda (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right]^{-(\nu+k)/2}$ $\mathcal{X} = \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^k; \Lambda \text{ symmetric positive-definite}, \nu > 0$	$\boldsymbol{\mu}$ (if $\nu > 1$)	$\frac{\nu}{\nu - 2} \Lambda^{-1}$ (if $\nu > 2$)	See univariate case	Usually parameterised in terms of the covariance matrix $\Sigma = \Lambda^{-1}$